1 Q. Provide details of the potential for the Voisey's Bay nickel smelter/refinery 2 and a Labrador Infeed discussed on page 9, lines 17 to 22 of Mr. Budgell's 3 Prefiled Testimony. 4 5 6 Α. As indicated in the referenced testimony, the magnitude and timing of on-7 island industrial load associated with the Voisey's Bay nickel resource 8 development represented a principal demand uncertainty during the 1990s. 9 Based on initial communications with INCO, Hydro began a process that 10 would have resulted in a power supply for a 200 MW smelter and refinery to 11 be located at Argentia starting in 2000. A 200 MW increase in load 12 represented approximately a 15 percent increase in demand for the Island 13 interconnected system. Hydro's assumptions for associated on-island 14 industrial load for the Voisey's Bay development subsequently decreased to 15 a 100 MW load provision, and with the change in process technology, is now 16 50 MW assuming hydro-met ore processing technology and a 17 commencement date of 2007. This load is now treated as a sensitivity case 18 to a base case long-term load forecast. 19 20 Uncertainty on the supply side was driven by the demand uncertainty 21 outlined above, and also uncertainty surrounding the outcome of negotiations 22 between the Province and Hydro-Quebec concerning further developments 23 on the Churchill River and a high voltage 800 MW transmission 24 interconnection between Labrador and the Island portion of the Province. If a 25 Labrador Infeed is committed, the objective would be to minimize new

generation capital on the Island prior to Infeed commissioning.

26

2001 General Rate Application

Pa	age 2 of 2
At this point in time Hydro has no service requests from INCO to su	pply an
on-island industrial load associated with the Voisey's Bay resource	
development. On the supply side, the Province has not yet been su	ccessful
in reaching applicable agreements leading to the development of th	e Lower

Churchill and a Labrador Infeed.